A Review on Optimal Inclination Angles for Solar Arrays

Dhanesh Jain*[‡], Mahendra Lalwani**

*Department of Renewable Energy, Research Scholar, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, Bharat-324010

**Department of Electrical Engineering, Associate Professor, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, Bharat-324010

(d.jain23@gmail.com, mlalwani.ee@gmail.com)

[‡]Corresponding Author, d.jain23@gmail.com

Received: 21.12.2016 Accepted:01.03.2017

Abstract- The knowledge of solar radiation falling on horizontal surface is the fundamental requirement for installing and monitoring the solar technologies. Due to variable nature of sun radiation, the power production of solar photovoltaic is fluctuating as compare to conventional power plant that's why the cost of power is increase. During the many decades, in order to estimate the horizontal components of solar radiation on hourly, daily and monthly mean basis have been estimated by different empirical models. There is one possible solution to improve the power production cost by changing the tilt angle and orientation angle on the basis of different factors such as time, season and location. To capture the maximum solar radiation inclination angle play an important role.

The purpose of this study is to provide a review of different diffuse models proposed in past and provides the optimal tilt angle for different locations around the world on the basis of monthly, seasonally, bi-annually and yearly. This review would be beneficial for the further research in solar energy in term of, to identify the optimal tilt angle and collection of radiation for different location in the globe. Additionally, to get the proper accuracy and reliability of orientation angle and tilt angle to get the strong correlation with solar radiation for different time periods such as monthly, seasonally, half yearly and yearly at desired location.

Keywords Solar energy, tilt angle, solar radiation, inclination angle, solar photovoltaic.

1. Introduction

With the gradual increasing the demand of solar energy in our planet, the solar energy become popular. To remove the dependency on fossil fuel, new options are investigated to develop the clean and sustainable energy for world. Solar energy is clean natural resource which is directly utilized by photovoltaic module and thermal collector around the world. The performance of photovoltaic module or thermal collector is depending on the amount of solar radiation that reaches on it. The solar radiation depends upon the time, location and position of the panel *w.r.t.* to sun.

To capturing the solar radiation is mainly affected by collector's tilt angle and orientation angle. Generally the collector oriented toward the south side in northern hemisphere and north side in southern hemisphere. Then, the incident of solar radiation mainly depends on the tilt angle of collector. It is critical issue to select the both angle to improve the efficiency of a collector [1-5].

This study provides the status of tilt angle of different location around the world. In section 2, provides the expression of different component of solar radiation on inclined surface. Section 3 describes the tilt angle of different location calculated by different researcher followed by conclusion in section 4.

2. Expression for Different Component of Solar Radiation

The global solar irradiance on tilted plane based on three components namely beam irradiance, reflection irradiance, and diffusion irradiance. The beam irradiance on tilted plane depends upon the zenith angle and incident angle of solar radiation. The reflection and diffusion components on tilted plane are based upon the tilt angle of plane [1, 4].

The beam component on inclined plane is calculated by:

D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

$$I_{tb} = I_b (\cos \theta_i / \cos \theta_z) \tag{1}$$

$$\cos\theta_7 = \sin\varphi.\sin\delta.\cos\varphi.\cos\delta.\cos\omega \tag{2}$$

 $\cos \theta_i = \sin \delta . \sin \varphi . \cos \beta - \sin \delta . \cos \varphi . \sin \beta . \cos \gamma$

$$+\cos\delta.\cos\varphi\cos\beta.\cos\omega+\cos\delta.\sin\beta.\sin\gamma.\sin\omega$$
 (3)

 $+\cos\delta.\sin\varphi.\sin\beta.\cos\gamma.\cos\omega$

$$\delta = 23.45 * \sin[2\pi(284 + n) / 365] \tag{4}$$

$$\omega = [\text{solar time -12:00}](\text{in hours})*15 \text{ degrees}$$
 (5)

Solar time is calculated by using following expression:

solar time = standard time
$$\pm 4(L_{st}-L_{loc}) + E$$
 (6)

where $E = 9.87 \sin 2B - 7.53 \cos B - 1.5 \sin B$ (in minutes) (7)

$$B = (360/364) * (n-81) \tag{8}$$

The reflection component on inclined plane is evaluated by using following expression:

$$I_{tr} = 1/2 * r_g * I * (1 - \cos \beta)$$
(9)

The diffusion component is estimated by different isotropic and anisotropic model:

Liu and Jordan model [6]:

$$I_{td} = I_d * (1 + \cos \beta / 2)$$
 (10)

Tian Model [7]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{180} \right) \tag{11}$$

Badescu Model [8]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[(3 + \cos 2\beta)/4 \right]$$
(12)

Koronaski model [9]:

$$I_{td} = \frac{1}{3}I_d * (2 + \cos\beta)$$
(13)

Jimenez and Castro model [10]:

$$I_{td} = \frac{1}{2} * 0.2I_d * (1 + \cos\beta)$$
(14)

Ma and Iqbal Model [11]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[\frac{I}{I_{ext}} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta_i}{\cos \theta_z} + \left[\left(1 - \frac{I}{I_{ext}} \right) \left(\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right] \right]$$
(15)

Bugler Model [12]:

$$I_{td} = \left[\left(I_d - 0.05 * \frac{I_{tb}}{\cos \theta_z} \right) * \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \beta) \right] + 0.05 I_{tb} \cos \theta \quad (16)$$

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[\left(\frac{I_b}{I_{ext}} R_b \right) + \left(1 - \frac{I_b}{I_{ext}} \right) \left(\frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2} \right) \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{I_b}{I_g}} \sin^3 \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right) \right] (17)$$

Klucher Model [14]:

$$I_{td} = 0.5I_d \left(1 + \cos\beta\right) \left(1 + F\sin^3(\beta/2)\right) \left(1 + F\cos^2\theta_i \cdot \sin^3\theta_z\right) \quad (18)$$

where
$$F = 1 - \left(I_d / I\right)^2$$

Perez et al. Model [15]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[(1 - F_1) \left(\frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2} \right) \right] \left(F_1 * \frac{a}{b} \right) \left(F_2 \sin \beta \right)$$
(19)

a, b = solid angle occupied by circumsolar and horizontal

 F_1 , F_2 = circumsolar and horizon brightness coefficient Muneer Model [16]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[\cos^2\left(\beta / 2\right) + \frac{2b}{\pi} (3 + 2b) \left(\sin\beta - \cos\beta - \pi \sin^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \right) \right]$$
(20)

b =Radiance distribution index

Hay Model [17]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[\frac{I - I_d}{I_{ext}} \frac{\cos \theta_i}{\cos \theta_z} + \left(\frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{I - I_d}{I_{ext}} \right) \right]$$
(21)

Reindel Model [18]:

$$I_{td} = I_d \left[(1 - \frac{I_b}{I_{ext}}) \left(\frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2} \right) + \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{I_b}{I}} \cdot \sin^3 \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{I_b}{I_{ext}} R_b \right]$$
(22)

where
$$R_b = \frac{\cos(\varphi - \beta)\cos\delta\sin\omega_{ss} + \omega_{ss}\sin(\varphi - \beta)\sin\delta}{\cos\varphi\cos\delta\sin\omega_{ss} + \omega_{ss}\sin\varphi\sin\delta}$$
 (23)

$$\omega_{SS} = \min[\cos^{-1}(-\tan\varphi\tan\delta), \ \cos^{-1}(-\tan(\varphi-\beta)\tan\delta)] \quad (24)$$

The hourly total solar irradiance on tilted plane is

$$I_{tg,i} = I_{tb,i} + I_{td,i} + I_{tr,i}$$
(25)

where $I_{tg,i}$, $I_{tb,i}$, $I_{td,i}$ and $I_{tr,i}$ are estimated by Equations (1-24) respectively for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots 8760$ (for a calendar year).

3. Overview of Optimal Tilt Angle for Different Location

The annual incident solar radiation depends upon the surface tilt angle and orientation angle. An optimal angle of collector obtained the more radiation as compare to horizontal surface radiation [19]. In northern hemisphere, the south face collector obtain more energy as compere to other orientation [20]. The performance deviation of solar panel directly affected by the time period of examination, tilt angle, surface orientation factor and location. The size of solar array and proper use of battery are essential to save the energy. The power production is directly proportional to performance of solar panel [21-23]. The tilt angle play an important role to improve the performance of the panel. The optimal tilt angle

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

is depends upon the location latitude, weather condition and surrounding obstacles. If the clearness index is almost same during the year then the tilt angle should be equal to the latitude the location otherwise not [24].

The year fixed tilted surface capture around 7% more energy as compare to horizontal surface. In similar manner the seasonal and monthly tilted surface received approximately 14% and 16% respectively more radiation as compared to horizontal surface [25-26]. The collection of radiation can be improve by implementation of the tracing system. With the help of single axis tracking system capturing approximately 29% more radiation. In same way the by using two axis tracking system the level of capturing 45% more radiation as compere to horizontal incident radiation [3, 27]. The year fixed tilt angle of solar panel is change 3 times w.r.t. vertical axis eastward, southward and westward direction in morning noon and afternoon respectively (3A), then annual collectible radiation is around 92-93% of two axis tracing system. In same way, with the seasonal fixed tilt angle adjusted in this manner, capture up to 95% energy of two axis tracing system [2].

In northern hemisphere, to capture the maximum solar radiation for building integrated solar photovoltaic panels are installed at southern edge from taller building with optimal tilt angle. In case of two taller building cover the roof, then collector installed on centred of the southern edge with optimal tilt angle [28-29]. As the share of photovoltaic module is increase in electricity power production, the overall cost of electricity production is decrease and also reduced the emission of carbon-dioxide (CO2) in the atmosphere [26, 30]. The tilt angle plays important role in other application. By using optimal tilt angle of solar panel is used in solar cooling application in summer season, solar heating in winter season throughout the world [31]. Unlike the photovoltaic module the T-type glass evacuated tube is tilted 10° less than the site latitude and H-type glass evacuated tube is tilted 20° less than the latitude to capture the maximum solar radiation [32].

Some study shows the tilt angle $\beta = \varphi \cdot \delta$ with $\gamma = 0^{\circ}$ (September-March) and $\gamma=180^{\circ}$ (April-August) is proved the more energy as compare to the tilt angle is $\beta=0^{\circ}$ and $\beta=\phi$ [33]. For some cases the tilt angle are lower $(0^{\circ}-30^{\circ})$ in summer seasons and higher (50°-70°) in winter season [34]. The target of maximum power can be achieve by incident the maximum radiation on panel and reduce the variance power production with optimal tilt angle of solar panel [35]. Y.P. Chang [36-38] used the PSO-NVTE, ADHDEOA and SNAOA techniques to determine the tilt angle of photovoltaic to capture the maximum radiation, which is depend on the sun position at any time and location to predict by mathematical procedure of Julian dating. Many new software are available to evaluate the performance of different solar application. These softwares have the characteristics to improve the quality of solar photovoltaic applications [39].

Baringer *et al.* investigated the optimal tilt angle for mid latitude location. The tilt angle varies from $0^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$ in summer season and $50^{\circ}-70^{\circ}$ for winter season. The largest energy difference is 6% for tilt angle 0° and 70° [40]. The maximum

value of ' $\cos\theta'$ shows the maximum collection of solar radiation. To obtain the value of tilt angle first derivative and second derivative of ' $\cos\theta'$ is evaluated. On the basis of this study the tilt angle of Surabaya, Indonesia is varied between $(0^{\circ}-30^{\circ})$ (from 12 march to 30 September) with northern orientation and $0^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$ (from 1 October to 11 March) with southern orientation. In this also calculated the tilt angle for every day vary $36^{\circ}-39.4^{\circ}$ with east facing in morning and west facing evening [41].

For Hong Kong, they said that the yearly optimal tilt angle 20° with south facing azimuth angle. In winter season the tilt angle value reach up to 41° and in summer season its value in minus. The monthly optimal tilt angle is maximum 46° in December and negative in May and June [42]. For Isfahan, the yearly optimal tilt angle is 28.84° and the monthly optimal tilt angle is varies from 0.15° to 57.74°. To get the maximum solar radiation (yearly fixed angle) $\beta = \varphi$ -10° at $\gamma = 0°$. In monthly cases, in January $\beta = \varphi + 20°$ at $\gamma < 50°$ and $\beta = \varphi - 10°$ at $\gamma > 50°$, in similar manner $\beta = \varphi - 10°$ at $\gamma = 0°$ for July month [43].

The tilt angle is very effective to collect the solar radiation, if tilt angle change seasonally or four times in year as compare to annually fix tilt angle [44]. The yearly fixed tilt angle is calculated for eight different locations in India by mathematical model in MATLAB [45]. Twenty different models are applied to evaluated diffuse radiation in different atmospheric conditions for location in Brazil [46].

The materials of solar panel also play an important role to produce power. The single crystalline silicon, multi crystalline silicon, amorphous silicon, compound thin film and spherical type PV module are applied at two different locations in Japan and USA to evaluate the performance ration and degradation rates [47]. The different type MPPT algorithms are applied in MATLAB environment and hardware. The results are found better with MPPT technique as compare to without MPPT technique implementation [48-50]. The recurrent scan and track MPPT algorithm is applied in dynamic partial shading for moving application [51]. The optimal tilt angles of different locations are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the optimum tilt angle of solar panel for different location are reviewed, based upon the yearly, half yearly, seasonally and monthly as shown in Table 1 and 2. On the basis of this study the conclusions are following:

- The proper inclinations of solar panel capture the maximum solar radiation.
- According to location, time and surrounding obstacles the optimal value of tilt angle may be varied from 0° to 90°.
- The year fixed tilt angle is equal to the location latitude, but it is not suitable for some location.
- On the basis of manpower and cost, the half yearly arrangement is much suitable than other.

D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

- On the basis of energy gain, the monthly optimal angle arrangement is best as compare to the other arrangements.
- For better performance, the optimal tilt angle is to be evaluated by different isotropic and anisotropic model for any location.

Nomenclature

- $L_{st} = standard longitude$
- $L_{loc} = location longitude$
- θ_z = zenith angle
- θ_i = Incident angle
- δ = declination angle
- $\omega =$ hour angle
- β = tilt angle
- γ = Orientation angle
- φ = location latitude
- n =day of the year, starting from 1st January
- I_b = beam solar irradiance on horizontal surface

I = global solar irradiance on horizontal surface

- I_d = diffuse solar irradiance on horizontal surface
- I_b = beam solar irradiance on horizontal surface
- I_{tg} = total solar irradiance on tilted surface
- I_{td} = diffuse solar irradiance on tilted surface
- I_{tb} = beam solar irradiance on tilted surface
- I_{tr} = reflected solar irradiance on tilted surface
- $I_{tr,i}$ = reflected solar irradiance on tilted surface for i_{th} hour
- $I_{td,i}$ = diffusion solar irradiance on tilted surface for i_{th} hour
- $I_{tb,i}$ = beam solar irradiance on tilted surface for i_{th} hour
- $I_{tg,i}$ = global solar irradiance on tilted surface for i_{th} hour

References

- J. Duffie and W. Beckman, Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes, 3rd Ed., John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 2006.
- [2] Y. Ma, G. Li, and R. Tang, "Optical performance of vertical axis three azimuth angles tracked solar panels", Applied Energy, vol. 88, pp. 1784-1791, 2011.
- [3] W.D. Lubitz, "Effect of manual tilt adjustments on incident irradiance on fixed and tracking solar panels", Applied Energy, vol. 88, pp. 1710-1719, 2011.
- [4] B. Khan, Non-Conventional Energy Resources, Second Edition, McGraw Hill Edu. Pvt. Ltd., India, 2014.
- [5] D.K. Singh, N.K. Swarnkar and M. Lalwani, "A Review on Growth & Future Plans of Solar Power Generation in India", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, vol. 2, pp. 7-10, 2015.
- [6] B.Y.H. Liu and R.C. Jordan, "Daily insolation on surfaces tilted towards the equator", Trans. ASHRAE, pp. 526-541, 1962.
- [7] Y.Q. Tian, R.J. Davies-Colley, P. Gong and B.W. Thorrold, "Estimating solar radiation on slopes of arbitrary aspect", Agri. and Forest Meteorology, vol. 109, pp. 67-77, 2001.
- [8] V. Badescu, "A new kind of cloudy sky model to compute instantaneous values of diffuse and global irradiance", Theoretical and Applied Climatology, vol. 72, pp. 127-136, 2002.
- [9] P.S. Koronakis, "On the choice of the angle of tilt for south facing solar collectors in the Athens basin area", Solar Energy, vol.36, pp. 217-225, 1986.
- [10] J.I. Jimenez and Y. Castro, National assembly of Geophysics and geodesy, Vol. II, pp. 805, 1986.

- [11] C.C.Y. Ma and M. Iqbal, "Statistical comparison of models for estimating solar radiation on inclined surfaces", Solar Energy, vol. 31(3), pp. 313-317, 1983.
- [12] J.W. Bugler, "The determination of hourly insolation on an inclined plane using a diffuse irradiance model based on hourly measured global horizontal insolation", Solar Energy, vol. 19(6), pp. 477-491, 1977.
- [13] M.D. Steven and M.H. Unsworth, "The angular distribution and interception of diffuse solar radiation below over cast skies", Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, vol. 106, pp. 57-61, 1980.
- [14] T.M. Klucher, "Evaluation of models to predict insolation on tilted surfaces", Solar Energy, vol. 23(2), pp. 111-114, 1979.
- [15] R. Perez, P. Ineichen, R. Seals, J. Michalsky and R. Stewart, "Modeling daylight availability and irradiance components from direct and global irradiance", Solar Energy, vol. 44(5), pp. 271-289, 1990.
- [16] T. Muneer, "Solar radiation model for Europe", Building Services Engineering Research and Technology, vol. 11(4), pp. 153-163, 1990.
- [17] J.E. Hay, "Calculation of monthly mean solar radiation for horizontal and inclined surface", Solar energy, vol. 23, pp. 301-330, 1979.
- [18] D.T. Reindl, W.A. Beckman and J.A. Duffie "Evaluation of hourly tilted surface radiation models", Solar Energy, vol. 45(1), pp. 9-17, 1990.
- [19] R. Tang and T. Wu, "Optimal tilt-angles for solar collectors used in China", Applied Energy, vol. 79, pp. 239-248, 2004.
- [20] T.P. Chang, "The Sun's apparent position and the optimal tilt angle of a solar collector in the northern hemisphere", Solar Energy, vol. 83, pp. 1274-1284, 2009.
- [21] C.B. Christensen and G.M. Barker, "Effects of tilt and azimuth on annual incident solar radiation for United States locations," Proceedings of Solar Forum, Washington, DC, 2001.
- [22] J. Kaldellis, K. Kavadias and D. Zafirakis, "Experimental validation of the optimum photovoltaic panels' tilt angle for remote consumers", Rene. Energy, vol. 46, pp. 179-191, 2012.
- [23] M. Lalwani, D.P. Kothari and M. Singh, "Size optimization of stand-alone photovoltaic system under local weather conditions in India", International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 1, pp. 951-961, 2011.
- [24] A.G. Siraki and P. Pillay, "Study of optimum tilt angles for solar panels in different latitudes for urban applications," Solar Energy, vol. 86, pp. 1920-1928, 2012.
- [25] M. Despotovic and V. Nedic, "Comparison of optimum tilt angles of solar collectors determined at yearly, seasonal and monthly levels", Energy Conversion Management, vol. 97, pp. 121-131, 2015.
- [26] M. Bojic, D. Bigot, F. Miranville, A. Parvedy-patou and J. Radulovi, "Optimizing performances of photovoltaics in Reunion Island-tilt angle", Progress in Photovoltaic Research and Applications, vol. 20(8), pp. 923-935, 2011.
- [27] W.G. Le Roux, "Optimum tilt and azimuth angles for fixed solar collectors in South Africa using measured data", Renewable Energy, vol. 96, pp. 603-612, 2016.
- [28] C.L. Cheng, C.S.S. Jimenez and M. Lee, "Research of BIPV optimal tilted angle, use of latitude concept for south orientated plans", Renewable Energy, vol. 34, pp. 1644-1650, 2009.
- [29] H. Moghadam and S.M. Deymeh, "Determination of optimum location and tilt angle of solar collector on the roof of buildings with regard to shadow of adjacent neighbors", Sustainable Cities and Society, vol.14, pp. 215-222, 2014.
- [30] M. Hartner, A. Ortner, A. Hiesl and R. Haas, "East to west– The optimal tilt angle and orientation of photovoltaic panels from an electricity system perspective," Applied Energy, vol. 160, pp. 94-107, 2015.

D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

- [31] P. Corrada, J. Bell, L. Guan and N. Motta, "Optimizing solar collector tilt angle to improve energy harvesting in a solar cooling system", Energy Procedia, International Conference on Solar Heating and Cooling for Buildings and Industry Freiburg, Germany, vol. 48, pp. 806-812, 2013.
- [32] R. Tang, W. Gao, Y. Yu and H. Chen, "Optimal tilt-angles of all-glass evacuated tube solar collectors", Energy, vol. 34, pp. 1387-1395, 2009.
- [33] P. Sunderan, A.S. Ismil. B. Singh and N.M. Mohamed, "Optimum tilt angle and orientation of Standalone Photovoltaic Electricity Generation System and rural Electrification", J. of Appl. Sci., vol. 11, pp. 1219-1224, 2011.
- [34] S. Beringer, H. Schilke, I. Lohse and G. Seckmeyer, "Case study showing that the tilt angle of photovoltaic plants is nearly irrelevant", Solar Energy, vol. 85, pp. 470-476, 2011.
- [35] E.D. Mehleri, P.L. Zervas, H. Sarimveis, J.A. Palyvos and N.C. Markatos, "Determination of the optimal tilt angle and orientation for solar photovoltaic arrays", Renewable Energy, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 2468-2475, 2010.
- [36] Y. Chang, "An ant direction hybrid differential evolution algorithm in determining the tilt angle for photovoltaic modules", Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 37, pp. 5415-5422, 2010.
- [37] Y. Chang, "Optimal design of discrete-value tilt angle of PV using sequential neural-network approximation and orthogonal array", Expert Syst. with Appl., vol. 36, pp. 6010-6018, 2009.
- [38] Y. Chang, "Optimal the tilt angles for photovoltaic modules using PSO method with nonlinear time-varying evolution", Energy, vol. 35, pp. 1954-1963, 2010.
- [39] M. Lalwani, D.P. Kothari and M. Singh, "Investigation of Solar Photovoltaic Simulation Softwares", Inter. Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 1, pp. 585-601, 2010.
- [40] S. Beringer, H. Schilke, I. Lohse and G. Seckmeyer, "Case study showing that the tilt angle of photovoltaic plants is nearly irrelevant", Solar Energy, vol. 85, pp. 470-476, 2011.
- [41] E.A. Handoyo, D. Ichsani and Prabowo, "The optimal tilt angle of a solar collector", Energy Procedia, vol. 32, pp. 166-175, 2013.
- [42] H. Yang and L. Lu, "Orientations of PV Claddings for Building-Integrated Photovoltaic (BIPV) Application", Journal of Solar Engineering, vol. 129, pp. 253-255, 2007.
- [43] H.S.S. Pour, H.K. Beheshti and M. Rahnama, "The Gain of the Energy under the Optimum Angles of Solar Panels during a Year in Isfahan, Iran", Energy Sources, Part A : Recovery, Utilization and Environ. Effects, vol. 33, pp. 1281-1290, 2011.
- [44] A. Agarwal, V.K. Vashishtha and S.N. Mishra, "Solar Tilt Measurement of Array for Building Application and Error Analysis", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 781-789, 2012.
- [45] G. Jims John Wessley, R. Narciss Starbell and S. Sandhya, "Modelling of Optimal Tilt Angle for Solar Collectors Across Eight Indian Cities", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 353-358, 2017.
- [46] A. P. Souza and J. F. Escobedo, "Estimates of Hourly Diffuse Radiation on Tilted Surfaces in Southeast of Brazil", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 207-221, 2013.
- [47] K. Oda, K. Hakuta, Y. Nozaki and Y. Ueda, "Characteristics evaluation of various types of PV modules in Japan and U.S.", 5th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Application, pp. 977-982, 2016.
- [48] S. Gautam, D.B. Raut, P. Neupane, D.P. Ghale and R. Dhakal, "Maximum Power point Tracker with Solar Prioritizer in Photovoltaic Application", 5th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Application, pp. 1051-1054, 2016.

- [49] X. Li, H. Wen and Y.Hu, "Evaluation of Different Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) Techniques based on Practical Meteorological Data", 5th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Application, pp. 696-701, 2016.
- [50] B. Veerasamy, A. R. Thelkar, G. Ramu and T. Takeshita, "Efficient MPPT Control for Fast Irradiation Changes and Partial Shading Conditions on PV Systems", 5th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Application, pp. 358-363, 2016.
- [51] A. Dolara, S. Leva, G. Magistrati, M. Mussetta, E. Ogliari and R.V. Arvind, "A Noval MPPT Algorithm for Photovoltic Systems under Dynamic Partial Shading-Recurrent Scan Track Method", 5th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Application, pp. 1122-1127, 2016.
- [52] H. Ennaceri and K. Loudiyi, "Modeling the Variation of Optimum Tilt Angles for Flat-Plate Solar Collectors in Ifrane, Morocco", International Renewable and Sustainable Energy Conference, pp. 106-111, 2013.
- [53] C. Stanciu and D. Stanciu, "Optimum tilt angle for flat plate collectors all over the World–A declination dependence formula and comparisons of three solar radiation models", Energy Conversion and Manage., vol. 81, pp. 133-143, 2014.
- [54] S.F. Khahro, K. Tabbassum, S. Talpur, M. Bux Alvi, X. Liao and L. Dong, "Evaluation of solar energy resources by establishing empirical models for diffuse solar radiation on tilted surface and analysis for optimum tilt angle for a prospective location in southern region of Sindh, Pakistan", Elect. Power and Energy Syst., vol. 64, pp. 1073-1080, 2015.
- [55] T.O. Kaddoura, M.A.M. Ramli and Y.A. Al-turki, "On the estimation of the optimum tilt angle of PV panel in Saudi Arabia", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 65, pp. 626-634, 2016.
- [56] F. Jafarkazemi and S.A. Saadabadi, "Optimum tilt angle and orientation of solar surfaces in Abu Dhabi, UAE", Renewable Energy, vol. 56, pp. 44-49, 2013.
- [57] H. Gunerhan and A. Hepbasli, "Determination of the optimum tilt angle of solar collectors for building applications", Building and Environment, vol. 42, pp. 779-783, 2007.
- [58] K. Ulgen, "Optimum Tilt Angle for Solar Collectors", Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, vol. 1171-1180, pp. 37-41, 2007.
- [59] H. Moghadam, F. F. Tabrizi and A. Z. Sharak, "Optimization of solar flat collector inclination", Desalination, vol. 265, pp. 107-111, 2011.
- [60] M.A.B.H.M. Yakup and A.Q. Malik, "Optimum tilt angle and orientation for solar collector in Brunei Darussalam", Renewable Energy, vol. 24, pp. 223-234, 2001.
- [61] S.A. Keshavarz, P. Talebizadeh, S. Adalatia, M.A. Mehrabian and M. Abdolzadeh, "Optimal Slope-Angles to Determine Maximum Solar Energy Gain for Solar Collectors Used in Iran", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 665-673, 2012.
- [62] M. Kacira, M. Simsek, Y. Babur and S. Demirkol "Determining optimum tilt angles and orientations of photovoltaic panels in Sanliurfa, Turkey", Renewable Energy, vol. 29, pp. 1265-1275, 2004.
- [63] I.H. Rowlands, B.P. Kemery and I.B. Morrison, "Optimal solar-PV tilt angle and azimuth: An Ontario (Canada) casestudy", Energy Policy, vol. 39, pp. 1397-1409, 2011.
- [64] J. Kaldellis and D. Zafirakis, "Experimental investigation of the optimum photovoltaic panels' tilt angle during the summer period", Energy, vol. 38, pp. 305-314, 2012.
- [65] E. Calabrò, "Determining optimum tilt angles of photovoltaic panels at typical north-tropical latitudes", Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy, vol. 33104, pp.1-6, 2009.

D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

- [66] H.H. Sherwali, H. Abufares, H. Ashour and S. Sangiorgio, "Investigation of Optimum Monthly Tilt Angles for Photovoltaic Panels in Tripoli through Solar Radiation Measurement", IEEE 15th International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering, pp. 565-569, 2015.
- [67] F. Li, N. Ma, J. Zhao, K. Qu, X. Yang and Z. Chen, "Evaluating optimum tilt angle for PV modules using solar radiation models in Wuhan, China", 9th International Conference on Power Electronics-ECCE Asia, Seoul, Korea, pp. 2507-2512, 2015.
- [68] D. Lahjouji and H. Darhmaoui, "Tilt Angle Optimization for Maximum Solar Energy Collection-Case Study for Ifrane, Morocco", International Renewable and Sustainable Energy Conference (IRSEC), pp. 90-100, 2013.
- [69] M. Nizam, M. Anwar, A.A. Salema, M.M. Baieka and H.M.S. Atia, "Optimization of Surface Orientation Angles to Receive Maximum Solar Radiation at Sabha City, Libya", Joint International Conference on Electric Vehicular Technology and Industrial, Mechanical, Electrical and Chemical Engineering, pp. 206-211, 2015.
- [70] M. Benghanem, "Optimization of tilt angle for solar panel: Case study for Madinah, Saudi Arabia", Applied Energy, vol. 88, pp. 1427-1433, 2011.
- [71] N. Shu, N. Kameda, Y. Kishida and H. Sonoda, "Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Optimal Tilt Angle of Photovoltaic Panels", Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering, vol. 5, pp. 399-405, 2006.
- [72] A. Balouktsis, D. Tsanakas and G. Vachtsevanos, "On the Optimum Tilt Angle of a Photovoltaic Array", International Journal of Solar Energy, vol. 5, pp. 153-169, 1987.
- [73] S. Soulayman and M. Hammoud, "Optimum tilt angle of solar collectors for building applications in mid-latitude zone", Energy Conversion and Manage, vol. 124, pp. 20-28, 2016.
- [74] K. Bakirci, "General models for optimum tilt angles of solar panels: Turkey case study", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 16, pp. 6149-6159, 2012.
- [75] Y.B. Gebremedhen, "Determination of Optimum Fixed and Adjustable Tilt Angles for Solar Collectors by using Typical Meteorological Year data for Turkey", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 4, pp. 924-928, 2014.

- [76] P. Talebizadeh, M.A. Mehrabian and M. Abdolzadeh, "Determination of Optimum Slope Angles of Solar Collectors Based on New Correlations", Energy Sources, Part A: Recov, Util. and Envir. Effects, vol. 33, pp. 1567-1580, 2011.
- [77] N. Ramli and S. Walker, "Pan-Global, Annualized Determination of Solar Collector Optimum Tilt Angle", 7th International Conference on Modelling, Identification and Control (ICMIC 2015) Sousse, Tunisia, pp. 1-4, 2015.
- [78] S.R.K. Madeti and Z. Ahmad, "Development of a MATLAB/LabVIEW model for optimal tilt angle and maximum power generation of a PV module", International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks, pp. 1493-1498, 2015.
- [79] B. Jamil, A.T. Siddiqui, and N. Akhtar, "Estimation of solar radiation and optimum tilt angles for south-facing surfaces in Humid Subtropical Climatic Region of India", Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, vol. 19, pp. 1826-1835, 2016.
- [80] M.J. Ahmad and G.N. Tiwari, "Optimization of Tilt Angle for Solar Collector to Receive Maximum Radiation", The open Renewable Energy Journal, vol. 2, pp. 19-24, 2009.
- [81] A. Agarwal, V.K. Vashishtha and S.N. Mishra, "Solar Tilt Measurement of Array for Building Application and Error Analysis", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, vol. 2, no. 4, pp.781-789, 2012.
- [82] S.B. Jeyaprabha and A.I. Selvakumar, "Optimal sizing of photovoltaic/battery/diesel based hybrid system and optimal tilting of solar array using the artificial intelligence for remote houses in India", Energy and Build., vol. 96, pp. 40-52, 2015.
- [83] A.K. Yadav and H. Malik, "Optimization of Tilt Angle for installation of Solar Photovoltaic system for six sites in India", International Conference on Energy Economics and Environment, pp. 1-4, 2015.

Table 1. Tilt angle are calculated for solar PV for different locations in world (except Bharat) (in degree)	
--	--

Ref.	Location	Latitude (Degree)	Year (Degree)	Half yearly (Degree)	Seasonal (Degree)	Monthly (Degree)	Remarks
[52]	Ifrance	33.50°N	34	9, 59	14, 5, 47, 71	0 to 75	
[53]	Tabass	33.36°N	32	10, 55	19, 1, 47, 60	0 to 64	the optimum tilt angle for summer= φ -10°, winter= φ +10°
[54]	Sindh	25.12°N	23	1, 38	21, 0, 18, 46	0 to 49	twice tilt angles are more benefit in term of manpower and cost
[55]	Jeddah		19.28		7.27, -8.73, 32.76, 46.56	-14.63 to 50.9	PV panel tilt adjusted six times in a year
[56]	Abu dhabi	24.4°N	22	42, 2	39, -1, 6, 45	-9 to 52	The optimum azimuth angle 50 is better the south facing
[57]	Izmir	38.46°N	35.8			0 to 67.4	summer $\beta = \varphi + 15^\circ$, winter $\beta = \varphi - 15^\circ$
[58]	Izmir		30.3		55.7, 18.3, 4.3, 43	0 to 61	
	Zahedan	29.49°N	27.9	5, 50.3		-8.1 to 59.2	The annual optimum tilt angle is β =0.197 φ +0.321, two change tilt
[59]	Bandar Abbass	27.18°N	25.7	2.7, 48.2		-9.9 to 57.2	angle produce 8% more compere to yearly tilt angle

D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

[60]	Brunei	4.9°N	3.3		29.4, 6.3, -22.6, 0.1	1.6 to 32.3	daily tilt angle 1° (in march) - 37° (December), Monthly tilt angle is suggested
	Bandar Abbas	27.18°N	22.3		35.5, 2.3, 7.9, 43.4	-4.2 to 49.4	
	Bushehr	28.99°N	23.6		35.3, 2.6, 10.2, 46.4	-5.3 to 49.1	
	Zahedan	29.49°N	26.7	_	42.7, 3.5, 10.6, 50.0	-5.2 to 56.6	
	Shiraz	29.62°N	25.9		40.4, 3.1, 10.5, 49.3	-5.2 to 57.5	
	Kerman	30.29°N	27	_	41.0, 3.8, 11.5, 51.6	-4.8 to 58.6	
	Yasoj	30.67°N	27.6		42.3, 4.2, 11.8, 52.1	-4.5 to 58.7	
	Ahvaz	31.33°N	28.4		43.9, 4.8, 12.5, 52.3	-4.2 to 58.8	
	Yazd	31.9°N	29		45.6, 5.2, 12.9, 52.5	-3.9 to 58.8	
	Shahrekord	32.33°N	29.4		46.0, 5.6, 13.1, 52.8	-3.6 to 59.4	
	Isfahan	32.63°N	26.9		42.0, 5.9, 12.4, 47.5	-1.3 to 54.9	
	Birjand	32.87°N	29.9		46.4, 6.1, 13.7, 53.5	-2.8 to 60.9	
	Khoramabad	33.49°N	28.3		43.3, 5.8, 13.2, 50.9	-2.5 to 58.9	
	Ilam	33.64°N	27.7		42.7, 5.6, 13.1, 49.3	-2.8 to 57.5	
	Arak	34.09°N	26.9		40.5, 6.5, 12.7, 47.7	-0.3 to 54.3	Surface azimuth angle is equal to
	Kermanshah	34.35°N	27		39.9, 6.5, 13.1, 48.5	0 to 56.4	zero and results show that
[61]	Ghom	34.64°N	29.1		44.1, 7.0, 14.3, 51.0	-0.4 to 57.9	
	Hamedan	34.87°N	31.1	-	47.7, 7.4, 15.7, 53.5	-0.7 to 60.1	monthly tilt angle set is better to
	Sanandaj	35.31°N	30.5]	46.8, 7.2, 14.7, 53.3	-1.1 to 60	collect the more radiation.
	Semnan	35.58°N	30.1]	46.1, 6.8, 14.1, 53.4	-1.9 to 60.1	
	Tehran	35.69°N	33.4]	50.5, 9.0, 16.9, 57.3	-0.8 to 63.3	
	Karaj	35.8°N	32		47.0, 8.3, 16.3, 56.1	0.5 to 63.3	
	Qazvin	36.26°N	31		45.4, 7.9, 16.1, 54.6	0.8 to 61.2	
	Mashhad	36.3°N	29.9		43.1, 7.8, 16.1, 52.7	1.2 to 59.2	
	Sari	36.56°N	29.3		43.0, 7.7, 15.9, 50.5	1.3 to 57.7	
	Zanjan	36.68°N	28.5		42.5, 8.0, 15.1, 48.4	1.6 to 56.2	
	Gorgan	36.84°N	30.2		45.2, 8.5, 15.4, 51.6	1.9 to 60.1	
	Ramsar	37.28°N	28.4		42.0, 8.0, 11.6, 52.0	3.6 to 63.2	
	Bojnord	37.47°N	32.9		47.8, 9.4, 16.8, 57.5	2.1 to 64.4	
	Urmia	37.55°N	31.3		46.4, 9.2, 15.9, 53.6	2 to 63	
	Tabriz	37.07°N	26		32.4, 8.2, 16.4, 47.2	2.2 to 53.1	
	Ardabil	38.25°N	25.1		31.3, 7.9, 15.8, 45.6	2.3 to 52	
[62]	Sanliurfa					13 to 61	by two axis tracing daily average gain 29.3% in total solar radiation result in 34.6% gain generated power
5601	Ottawa	45°N	36-38				the azimuth angle varied between 4°W-6°E
[63]	Toronto	44°N	32-35				the azimuth angle varied between 1°W-2°E
[64]	Athens	37.96°N	15				$15^{\circ}\pm 2.5^{\circ}$ for summer
[04]		37.90 N	15				
[65]	USA and Europe		φ-27±1				Semi-fixed panel installed to change tilt angle
[66]	Tripoli		30	50, 20		20 to 60	Monthly tilt angle more gain as compare to other
[67]	Wuhan	29.96°N	20	20, 45			Year fixed angle has low power as compare to half year angles
[68]	Ifrance	33.32°N	36.6	62.8, 0.6			Monthly energy gain is more as compare to other
[69]	Sabha city	27.03°N	30.4			8.86 to 58.95	monthly azimuth angle change (-8.82° to -142.73°) and yearly azimuth angle is -19°
[70]	Madinah	24.5°N	23.5	37, 12			at year optimal tilt angle 8% losses as compare to monthly optimal tilt angle
[71]	Kitak-yushu	33.87°N	25 to 32				Direct and diffuse solar radiation have the strong relation with radiation rate, Monthly tilted surface capture more radiation as compare to other
	Bet Dagan		22	42,1			Tilt angle is equal to the location
	Gavdos		24	44, 5			
[72]							
[72]	Kythnos Portland		26 28	46, 7 50, 13			latitude. Two angle setting reduce the percentage up to 1%.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

$ [73] \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Izmir	38.46°N	37.43	ϕ -24.1°, 0.873 ϕ +24.65		0 to 67.5	tilt angle change twice in year:
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[73]	Itarian Station	32 5°N	33.04	124.05		0 to 63 5	
$ \begin{bmatrix} Hamirpur & 31.59^{\text{N}} & 32.32 & 59.3.37.0.5.27.97 & 0 \text{to } 61.6 \\ \hline Tabass & 33.6^{\text{N}} & 33.9 & 53.2, 10.3 & 0 \text{ to } 63.2 \\ \hline Adama & 22.7 & 21.33, 6.4667, 36.67 & 0 \text{ to } 60 & 0 \\ \hline Ankara & 22.7 & 21.33, 6.4667, 36.67 & 0 \text{ to } 60 & 0 \\ \hline Britanbul & 32.6 & 22.6, 63.3 & 63.3, 55.67 & 0 \text{ to } 59 & 0 \\ \hline Erzurum & 34.3 & 22.6, 48, 61.33 & 0 \text{ to } 61 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Erzurum & 34.3 & 22.6, 48, 61.33 & 0 \text{ to } 61 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Britanbul & 32.6 & 22.6, 53.46, 63.3, 55.67 & 0 \text{ to } 59 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Samsun & 33.2 & 21.67, 6.67, 4.667, & 0 \text{ to } 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Tabzon & 31.8 & 20.33, 5.67, 44.3 & 0 \text{ to } 61 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Ankara & 39.95^{\text{N}} & 24 & 21, 28 & 41, 11, 18, 48 & 5 & 10.53 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Ankara & 39.95^{\text{N}} & 24 & 21, 28 & 41, 11, 18, 48 & 5 & 10.53 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Ankara & 39.95^{\text{N}} & 24 & 20, 27 & 40, 9, 15, 47 & 2 & 10.54 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Ankara & 39.95^{\text{N}} & 22 & 21, 28 & 41, 10, 17, 47 & 46 & 10.53 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $	[75]				47957	4172984485		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-				11.5, 5.1			September
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-				53 2 10 3	59.5, 55.1, 6.5, 21.91		-
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			55.0 1		55.2, 10.5	19 67 4 33 44 67 56		
$ \begin{bmatrix} 74 \end{bmatrix} \hline \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-							-
$ \begin{bmatrix} [74] \\ \hline \\ $	-							-
$ \begin{bmatrix} [74] \\ \hline 1 \\ 1 \\$	-							
$ \begin{bmatrix} [74] \\ \hline 12mir \\ \hline 32.8 \\ \hline 13.3, 5.33, 47, 57.3 \\ \hline 33.2 \\ \hline 13.3, 5.67 \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 13.8 \\ \hline 18.8 \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 17abzon \\ \hline 18.8 \\ \hline$	-						0 to 05	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[74]							maximum radiation as compare
$ \begin{bmatrix} 75 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline Samsun & 33.2 & 20.37, 57, 67, 44.33, \\ \hline Trabzon & 31.8 & 20.33, 567, 44.33, \\ \hline Antalya & 39.95^{\rm N} & 24 & 21, 28 & 41, 11, 18, 48 & 50.53 \\ \hline Antalya & 36.88^{\rm N}, 24 & 20, 27 & 40, 9, 15, 47 & 20.55 \\ \hline Cannakal & 40.13^{\rm N} & 24 & 20, 27 & 40, 11, 17, 47 & 610.53 \\ \hline Hakkari & 37.57^{\rm N} & 22 & 18, 26 & 39, 9, 16, 47 & 30.54 \\ \hline Izamir & 38.95^{\rm N} & 223 & 19, 26 & 39, 9, 15, 46 & 610.52 \\ \hline Konya & 38.97^{\rm N} & 25 & 21, 28 & 41, 10, 17, 49 & 410.56 \\ \hline Mugla & 37.2^{\rm N} & 25 & 21, 28 & 41, 10, 17, 49 & 410.56 \\ \hline Mugla & 37.2^{\rm N} & 25 & 22, 28 & 43, 9, 15, 48 & 510.57 \\ \hline Tabzon & 41^{\rm N} & 25 & 22, 28 & 43, 9, 15, 48 & 510.57 \\ \hline Tabzon & 41^{\rm N} & 25 & 22, 28 & 43, 9, 15, 48 & 510.57 \\ \hline Tabass & 33.36^{\rm N} & 30.16 & 53.51 & 60.94 \\ \hline Shraz & 29.32^{\rm N} & 25.58 & 40.45, 3.14, 10.56, & -2.07 to 53.40 \\ \hline Shraz & 29.32^{\rm N} & 25.58 & 40.45, 3.14, 10.56, & -2.07 to 53.41 \\ \hline Yazd & 31.54^{\rm N} & 29.05 & 45.6, 22.41, 2.85, & -3.91 to 53.48 \\ \hline Yazd & 31.54^{\rm N} & 29.05 & 45.6, 2.41, 12.85, & -3.91 to 53.48 \\ \hline Vaard & 31.54^{\rm N} & 29.05 & 45.6, 2.41, 12.85, & -3.91 to 53.48 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -51.68 \\ \hline Shrat & 30.15^{\rm N} & 23.95 & 40.99, 3.8169, & 54.89 to 57.5 \\ \hline Tabass & 33.36^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 & -7.5 \\ \hline Tripoli & 32.90^{\rm N} & 29.1 \\ \hline Misratah & 32.38^{\rm N} & 28.6 \\ \hline Benghazi & 31.12^{\rm N} & 28.4 \\ \hline Ajdabiya & 30.76^{\rm N} & 77.2 \\ \hline Misratah & 32.42^{\rm N} & 33.3 \\ \hline Yamagatashi & 38.44^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\rm N} & 47.7 \\ \hline Yamagatashi & 38.44^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.41^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.41^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.8 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.3 \\ \hline New York & 33.42^{\rm N} & 33.3 \\ \hline New York & 33$	-	1211111					0 10 01	to year and seasonal tilt angle
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Samsun		33.2		57.67	0 to 62	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Trabzon		31.8			0 to 61	
$\begin{bmatrix} [75] \\ \hline \\ $		Ankara				41, 11, 18, 48		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Antalya		24			2 to 55	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			40.13°N	24	20, 27		6 to 53	
$ \begin{bmatrix} [75] \\ \hline Istanbul \\ Izamir \\ Izamir \\ 38.5^{\circ}N \\ 23 \\ Izamir \\ 39.05 \\ Izamir \\ 38.5^{\circ}N \\ 25 \\ Izamir \\ 21.28 \\ 41.10, 17, 49 \\ 410.56 \\ 10.17, 49 \\ 410.56 \\ Izo \\ 120 \\ Izamir \\ 41^{\circ}N \\ 21.28 \\ Izamir \\ 21.28 \\ 41.9, 16, 47 \\ 210.52 \\ Izo \\ 52.2 \\ 120 \\ Izamir \\ 42.72, 3.46, 10.6, 50 \\ 56.62 \\ Izamir \\ 52.88 \\ Izamir \\ 40.45, 3.14, 10.56 \\ 57.5 \\ Izamir \\ 40.45, 3.14, 10.56 \\ 57.5 \\ Izamir \\ 40.45, 3.14, 10.56 \\ 57.5 \\ Izamir \\ 120 \\ Izamir \\ 120$		Hakkari	37.57°N	22	18, 26	39, 9, 16, 47	3 to 54	More adjustment in tilt angle
$\begin{bmatrix} 761 \\ \hline 1000 \\ \hline $	[75]	Istanbul	40.97°N	23	20, 27			
$ \begin{bmatrix} 161 \\ \hline Konya & 38.97'N & 25 & 21.28 & 41, 10, 17, 49 & 4 to 56 \\ \hline Mugla & 37.2'N & 23 & 20, 26 & 41, 9, 16, 47 & 2 to 52 \\ \hline Trabzon & 41'N & 25 & 22, 28 & 43, 9, 15, 48 & 5 to 57 \\ \hline Trabzon & 41'N & 25 & 22, 28 & 43, 9, 15, 48 & 5 to 57 \\ \hline Zahedan & 29.28'N & 26.7 & 42.72, 3, 46, 10.6, 50 & 56.62 \\ \hline Birjand & 32.52'N & 29.93 & 46.42, 6.11, 13.69 & 2.8 to 53.51 & 60.94 \\ \hline Shraz & 29.32'N & 25.88 & 40.45, 3, 14, 10.56, & -2.07 to 53.51 & 60.94 \\ \hline Tabass & 33.36'N & 30.16 & 46.19, 6.87, 14, 11, & -1.94 to 53.48 & 60.15 \\ \hline Tabass & 33.36'N & 30.16 & 53.48 & 60.15 & 1.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline Yazd & 31.54'N & 29.05 & 45.6, 52.4, 12.85, & -3.91 to 52.52 & 58.8 \\ \hline Kerman & 30.15'N & 23.95 & 40.99, 3.81, -0.69 & -4.89 to 51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline London & 51.51'N & 45.5 & -5.68 & -5$		Izamir	38.5°N	23	19, 26	39, 9, 15, 46	6 to 52	produce better energy gain
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Konya	38.97°N	25	21, 28		4 to 56	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Mugla	37.2°N	23	20, 26	41, 9, 16, 47	2 to 52	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			41°N	25	22, 28		5 to 57	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Zahedan	29.28°N	26.7				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Birjand	32.52°N	29.93			-2.8 to	The energy gains of daily and monthly optimum tilt angle are almost same.
$\begin{bmatrix} [76] \\ \hline Shaz \\ 29.2 N \\ 25.88 \\ \hline 29.32 N \\ 25.88 \\ \hline 49.36 \\ 57.5 \\ \hline 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ $	-							
$\begin{bmatrix} 1/6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline Tabass & 33.36^{\circ}N & 30.16 & 46.19, 6.87, 14.11, -1.94 to 53.48 & 60.15 \\ \hline Yazd & 31.54^{\circ}N & 29.05 & 45.6, 5.24, 12.85, -3.91 to 52.52 & 58.8 \\ \hline Kerman & 30.15^{\circ}N & 23.95 & 40.99, 3.81, -0.69, -4.89 to 51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline London & 51.51^{\circ}N & 45.5 & -51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline London & 51.51^{\circ}N & 45.8 & -51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline Colchester & 51.89^{\circ}N & 45.8 & -51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline Dundee & 56.46^{\circ}N & 49.9 & -51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline New York & 53.96^{\circ}N & 47.7 & -51.68 & 58.62 \\ \hline Misratah & 32.38^{\circ}N & 28.6 & -51.68 & -51.68 & -51.68 & -51.68 \\ \hline Benghazi & 32.12^{\circ}N & 29.1 & -51.68 & -51.68 & -51.68 & -51.68 \\ \hline Misratah & 32.38^{\circ}N & 28.6 & -51.68 & -51.$		Shraz	29.32°N	25.88				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[76]							
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Tabass	33.36°N	30.16		53.48	60.15	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Yazd	31.54°N	29.05				
$[77] \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		V	20.15°N	22.05		40.99, 3.81,-0.69,	-4.89 to	
$[77] \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Kerman	30.15 N	23.95		51.68	58.62	
$ \begin{bmatrix} Dundee & 56.46^{\circ}N & 49.9 & & & & \\ New York & 53.96^{\circ}N & 47.7 & & & & \\ \hline Tripoli & 32.90^{\circ}N & 29.1 & & & & \\ \hline Misratah & 32.38^{\circ}N & 28.6 & & & & \\ \hline Benghazi & 32.12^{\circ}N & 28.4 & & & & \\ \hline Ajdabiya & 30.76^{\circ}N & 27.2 & & & & \\ \hline Akita & 39.72^{\circ}N & 35.1 & & & & \\ \hline Gifu-shi & 35.42^{\circ}N & 31.3 & & & & \\ \hline Yamagatashi & 38.24^{\circ}N & 33.8 & & & & \\ \hline Niigatashi & 37.90^{\circ}N & 33.5 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} $			51.51°N	45.5				
$ \begin{bmatrix} Dundee & 56.46^{\circ}N & 49.9 & & & & \\ New York & 53.96^{\circ}N & 47.7 & & & & \\ \hline Tripoli & 32.90^{\circ}N & 29.1 & & & & \\ \hline Misratah & 32.38^{\circ}N & 28.6 & & & & \\ \hline Benghazi & 32.12^{\circ}N & 28.4 & & & & \\ \hline Ajdabiya & 30.76^{\circ}N & 27.2 & & & & \\ \hline Akita & 39.72^{\circ}N & 35.1 & & & & \\ \hline Gifu-shi & 35.42^{\circ}N & 31.3 & & & & \\ \hline Yamagatashi & 38.24^{\circ}N & 33.8 & & & & \\ \hline Niigatashi & 37.90^{\circ}N & 33.5 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} $		Colchester	51.89°N	45.8				
$ \begin{bmatrix} Tripoli & 32.90^{\circ}N & 29.1 & & & \\ Misratah & 32.38^{\circ}N & 28.6 & & & \\ Benghazi & 32.12^{\circ}N & 28.4 & & & \\ Ajdabiya & 30.76^{\circ}N & 27.2 & & & \\ \hline Akita & 39.72^{\circ}N & 35.1 & & & \\ \hline Gifu-shi & 35.42^{\circ}N & 31.3 & & & \\ \hline Yamagatashi & 38.24^{\circ}N & 33.8 & & & \\ \hline Niigatashi & 37.90^{\circ}N & 33.5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} $			56.46°N	49.9				
$ \begin{bmatrix} 77 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		New York	53.96°N	47.7				
$ \begin{bmatrix} 77 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Tripoli	32.90°N	29.1				
$ [77] \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			32.38°N	28.6				
$ [77] \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Benghazi	32.12°N	28.4				
	_							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(22)		39.72°N	35.1				Optimal tilt angle =
Yamagatashi 38.24°N 33.8 Niigatashi 37.90°N 33.5	[//]							
Niigatashi 37.90°N 33.5	-							
			37.90°N					
0.00		Jilin	43.70°N	38.6				
Lima 12.04°N 10.7								
Johan-nesburg 26.20°N 23.2	-	Johan-nesburg						
Sydney 33.87°N 29.9		Sydney						
Christchurch 43.53°N 38.5								
Dunedin 45.87°N 40.5								

ble 2. Optimum tilt angle are calculated for solar PV for different locations in Bharat (in degree)

Ref.	Location	Latitude (Degree)	Seasonal (Degree)	Monthly (Degree)	Remarks
[78]	Roorkee	29.87°N		0-40	optimal tilt angle get more as compare tilt angle equal to 0° or latitude
[79]	Aligarh	27.62°N	0, 24.29, 56, 30.19	0-58.33	monthly angles more beneficial as compare to seasonal and year fixed tilt angle
[80]	New Delhi		0, 24, 56, 30	0-58	Optimum monthly tilt angle is more power capture
[81]	Chennai			0-48	By using Reindl model yearly angle for Chennai 20.20° and
[01]	Nagpur			0-55	for Nagpur 24.29°
	Minicoy			0-41	True completion equations are develop between the tilt angle
	Thiruvananthapuram			0-42	Two correlation equations are develop between the tilt angle
[82]	Port Blair			0-44	declination angle: β =17.69-1.055 δ
	Bangalore			0-45	$\beta = 17.09 \cdot 1.055 \delta$ $\beta = 12.44 + 0.0197 \delta^2 \cdot 1.0581 \delta$
	Chennai			0-45	$p = 12.44 \pm 0.01970 \pm 1.03810$

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH D. Jain and M. Lalwani, Vol.7, No.3, 2017

	Panjim			0-48	
	Chennai	13.08°N	0, 0, 22, 30		
	Thiruvananthapuram	8.48°N	0, 0, 21, 27		
	Bangalore	12.96°N	0, 0, 24, 31		
	Goa	15.49°N	0, 0, 31, 36		
	Mumbai	18.97°N	0, 0, 35, 40		
	Bhubaneswar	20.27°N	0, 0, 33, 38		
	Hyderabad	17.36°N	0, 0, 31, 36		
	Raipur		1, 0, 38, 42		
	Bhopal		1, 0, 38, 42		
	Ghandhinagar		1, 0, 38, 42		
	Ranchi		1, 0, 37, 42		
	Jaipur		3, 0, 41, 45		
	Lucknow		4, 0, 42, 44		The life and is more effective as a more to the stand
[83]	Calcutta		1, 0, 36, 40		The life cycle cost is more effective as compare to the stand alone PV system or Diesel generator. ANN and ANFIS are
[05]	Patna		3, 0, 42, 45		used to find size of arrays and tilt angle of any location.
	Delhi		5, 0, 44, 47		used to find size of arrays and the angle of any location.
	Chandigarh		7, 2, 48, 50		
	Dehradun		7, 1, 48, 50		
	Shimla		7, 2, 49,51		
	Srinagar		7, 5, 49, 50		
	Gangtok		3, 0, 42, 46		
	Guwahati		4, 0, 43, 46		
	Shilong		4, 0, 41, 45		
	Imphal		3, 0, 40, 45		
	Itanagar		2, 0, 40, 43		
	Agartala		2, 0, 38, 43		
	Kohima		3, 0, 41, 46		
	Aizawal		2, 0, 38, 43		