Optimized Generation Scheduling of Thermal Generators Integrated to Wind Energy System with Storage

Shubham Tiwari*, Bharti Dwivedi**, M.P Dave***

*Department of Electrical Engineering, Institute of Engineering & Technology, Sitapur Road, Lucknow (226021), U.P., India

** Department of Electrical Engineering, Institute of Engineering & Technology, Sitapur Road, Lucknow (226021), U.P., India

***Department of Electrical Engineering, Shiv Nadar University, Dadri (201314), Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P., India

(shubhamtiwari@ietlucknow.ac.in, bharti.dwivedi@ietlucknow.ac.in, davemp2003@yahoo.com)

[‡]Shubham Tiwari; Department of Electrical Engineering, IET, Lucknow (226021), U.P., India, Tel: +919456481381

shubhamtiwari@ietlucknow.ac.in

Received: 04.10.2017 Accepted:29.11.2017

Abstract- The rising energy demand and climate change issues have warranted the inclusion of renewable energy resources with existing conventional fuel based generation system. The intermittent renewable generation require adequate battery support in order to minimize load deficit issues in electrical grid. Hence, an attempt has been made in this paper to formulate a short term deterministic Unit Commitment problem in renewable integrated environment with battery storage. Ten thermal generators are scheduled with a 500 MW wind energy generation system supported by 200 MWh battery with backup of four hours. A three stage solution methodology is evolved involving hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique to provide techno-economic solution to this complex optimization problem. The charge/ discharge scheduling of battery energy storage integrated to wind generation system is taken up as a co-optimization problem. The generation of battery energy storage integrated wind energy system is so scheduled that it relieves the costlier thermal generating units in the most economic manner.

Keywords Unit Commitment Problem (UCP); priority list method (PLM); particle swarm optimization technique with time varying acceleration coefficients (PS0_TVAC); battery energy storage (BES); wind energy system (WES).

1. Introduction

Sporadic and rapidly draining conventional fuels and the climate change concerns have necessitated the inclusion of abundantly and freely available Renewable Energy Resources (RERs) into main stream power generation systems [1,2]. The Wind Energy Systems (WES) is preferred over other RERs as it is more sustainable, cleaner and cheaper [3,4]. The main problem associated with WES is its intermittency. The wind speed alters significantly over 24 hours leading to a large variation in its output power. This intermittent nature may cause stability issues in the Grid [5, 6]. This problem can be circumvented to some extent by implementing in conjunction the Energy Storage Systems (ESS) [7-10]. Among the variety of existent ESS technologies like fly wheel storage, super capacitor storage,

hydrogen storage, battery storage etc., battery storage has demonstrated its dominance based on techno-economic benefits in high storage applications [11-13]. The Battery Energy Storage (BES) can operate upon a wide range of power starting from tens of MW to hundreds of MW over several hours [14]. Application of BES has found a significant role in power systems because it not only provides reliability to the Grid but also proves to be a cost effective substitute for meeting the prevalent demand [15]. In this era of advancements in the relevant technology, the use of BES is likely to prove itself to be the most viable storage system in coming future [16].

The Unit Commitment Problem (UCP) is a highly intricate optimization problem governed by multiple constraints [17-19]. Combinations of the variety of available

generation resources need to be designated optimally in order to achieve the overall optimized cost of generation while meeting the prevailing demand.

The problem becomes more complex when WES and BES are imbibed in UCP model. The charge-discharge schedule of battery in a Grid connected system requires greater focus as the cost of thermal generation is required to be minimized for 24 hours. This is in contrast to the situation in Micro Grid systems where the objective is just to satisfy the demand throughout the day.

In a Micro Grid system, the charge-discharge schedule of BES is decided in a simpler way. Maintaining the battery constraints, the battery is charged when the generation is greater than the demand and is discharged when the situation is contrary [17, 18]. This method may not prove worthy when WES works in conjunction with the thermal generators because thermal generators are capable of meeting the demand alone, so the condition of demand exceeding the generation never arises. It is only the matter of economic concern that by taking BES integrated WES generation scheduling as a co-optimization problem, the costlier MW generation of thermal units can be replaced by BES integrated WES resulting in overall reduced cost of generation.

2. Problem Formulation

The objective of the study is to obtain most economical operating schedule of thermal generation when nearly freely available BES integrated WES is blended with the existing thermal generation. The operating cost of BES integrated WES is neglected [20-22]. Thus the objective function of the problem is to minimize the overall cost of thermal generation for 24 hours in this new environment [23-25].

$$\cos t_{NH} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [FC_i(P_{ih}) + SC_i(1 - U_{i(h-1)})]U_{ih}$$
(1)

 U_{ih} is the ON/OFF status of the i^{th} unit at h^{th} hour.

 FC_i (P_{ih}) is the fuel cost of i^{th} unit with power output (P_{ih}) at the h^{th} hour. FC is fuel cost function which is a quadratic polynomial with coefficients a_i , b_i and c_i . It is represented by Equation (2).

$$FC_i(P_{ih}) = a_i + b_i P_{ih} + c_i P^2_{ih}$$
⁽²⁾

The start-up cost (SC_i) of i^{th} unit is considered on the basis of minimum down time of unit (MD_i) , given by equation (3).

$$SC_{i} = \begin{cases} HSc_{i}: X_{i}^{off} \leq (MD_{i} + Cs_{i})hrs \\ CSc_{i}: X_{i}^{off} > (MD_{i} + Cs_{i})hrs \end{cases}$$
(3)

 H_{sc} is the hot start-up cost, C_{sc} is cold start-up cost. C_{si} is cold start-up hours, X_i^{off} is the duration in which i^{th} unit is continuously OFF.

The constraints of UCP considered here are as follows [25].

2.1 Thermal Generation Constraints

(i) Power Balance Constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ih} U_{ih} = L D_h \tag{4}$$

 P_{ih} is the generation in MW of i^{th} unit in h^{th} hour and LD_h is the load demand at h^{th} hour.

(ii) Spinning Reserve Constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i(\max)} U_{ih} \ge LD_h + SR_h$$
(5)

 $Pi_{(max)}$ is the maximum generation in MW of i^{th} unit and SR_h is the spinning reserve at h^{th} hour. In this paper for ten thermal generating units the spinning reserve is taken as 5% of total load.

(iii) Generation Limit Constraint

$$P_{i(\min)} \le P_{ih} \le P_{i(\max)} \tag{6}$$

(iv) Minimum up time constraints

$$X_i^{on}(t) \ge MU_i \tag{7}$$

 X_i^{on} is the duration in which i^{th} unit is continuously ON.

(v) Minimum down time constraint

$$X_i^{off}(t) \ge MD_i \tag{8}$$

(vi) Initial Status

It is the initial down time status that is required to be considered in the first hour of scheduling. The data regarding thermal generating units and load profile is given in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 respectively.

2.2 BES integrated WES generation model

In WES model the hourly wind power can be calculated from equation (9) [25].

$$Pw_{h} = \begin{cases} 0: & Vw_{h} < V_{1}; Vw_{h} > V_{3} \\ \xi(Vw_{h}) & V_{1} \le Vw_{h} \le V_{2} \\ Pw_{n} & V_{2} \le Vw_{h} \le V_{3} \end{cases}$$
(9)

Where V_3 , V_2 and V_1 are the cut out, rated and cut in speeds respectively, for wind turbine. Vw_h is wind speed according to hourly forecast [25]. The function $\xi(v_{w_h})$ determines wind to energy conversion. Pw_n is the rated power of wind generation plant . $\xi(v_{W_h})$ can be expressed by equations (10-

$$\xi(v_{w_h}) = k_o + k_1 v_{w_h}^2$$
(10)

$$k_o = \frac{P_{wn} * v_1^2}{v_1^2 - v_2^2} \tag{11}$$

$$k_1 = \frac{P_{WN}}{v_2^2 - v_1^2} \tag{12}$$

Where, k_o and k_1 are constants.

The hourly wind speed data is given in Appendix 3.

The total available wind power output from the above equations is utilized in optimally the charging the battery while the remaining available wind power is directly dispatched to the Grid it is represented by equation (13). To avoid additional computational burden the efficiency of converters for ac/dc conversions are taken as 100% [26].

$$Pw_h = Pw_h^D + Pw_h^{BC}$$
(13)

Where, Pw_h^D and Pw_h^{BC} are the hourly power output of the available wind power for direct dispatch and optimally charging the battery.

The Lead-acid battery model is considered as [27]. The charging and discharging of battery can be explained with the help equations (14-21) [27]. The State of Charge (SOC) of battery during charging process is given as equation (14)

$$SOC_{h+1} = SOC_h * [1 - \sigma_h] + \frac{I_h^b * \Delta h * \eta_h}{C_b}$$
(14)

Where, σ_{h} is the hourly self-discharge rate taken as 0.02%,

 C_b is battery capacity in Ah, Δh is taken as 1 and η_h is charge efficiency factor given as equation (15).

$$\eta_{h} = 1 - \exp\left[\frac{y * SOC_{h} - 1}{\left(\frac{I_{h}^{b}}{I_{0}} + z\right)}\right]$$
(15)

Where, y, z and I_0 are the parameters depending upon working conditions of battery.

The battery charging current I_h^b , is given as equation (16).

$$I_{h}^{b} = \frac{Pw_{h}^{BC}}{V_{h}^{b}} * \eta_{h}^{conv}$$
(16)

 η_h^{conv} is converter efficiency.

The battery discharge process is computed as equations (17-18).

$$SOC_{h+1} = SOC_h * [1 - \sigma_h] - \left(\frac{I_h^b * \Delta h}{C_b}\right)$$
(17)

Where,

$$I_{h}^{b} = -\left[\frac{P_{h}^{BD}}{V} * \eta_{h}^{conv}\right]$$
(18)

Where, P_h^{BD} is battery power discharged to the Grid.

Meanwhile, the charged quantity of the battery is subjected to following constraints [27].

$$SOC_{\min} \le SOC_h \le SOC_{\max}$$
 (19)

And,

$$I_{h}^{b\max} = \max\left\{0,\min\left[I^{\max},C_{b}*k((\mathbf{A})+(\mathbf{B})*(1-k))/\Delta h\right]\right\}$$
(20)

Where,

 $A = SOC_{max} - SOC_h$; $B = SOC_h - SOC_{min}$ And,

k = 1 for charging and k = 0 for discharging.

$$SOC_{\text{max}} = 1$$
; $SOC_{\text{min}} = 1 - DOL$

DOD is Depth of Discharge, taken as 30% [27].

The battery terminal voltage (v_h^b) is given as [27]

$$V_h^b = V_h^{oc.b} + I_h^b * R_h^b$$
(21)

Where, $v_h^{oc.b}$ is open circuit voltage of battery and R_h^b is battery internal resistance.

The power balance constraint given in equation (4) can be modified to include BES integrated WES and the same is expressed by equation (22).

$$\underset{h=1}{\overset{H}{\sum}} \left[\underset{i=1}{\overset{N}{\sum}} P_{ih} U_{ih} + P w_h + P_h^{BD} \right] = L D_h$$
 (22)

3. Solution Methodology

A three stage solution methodology is proposed for solving Thermal Unit Commitment problem in BES integrated WES environment. The solution methodology can be explained from Fig.1.

3.1 Stage One

In first stage according to the hourly load demand [28] and thermal generators profile, priority listing of units is done by PLM. The initial priority vector is obtained as equation (23) [28].

$$priorityvector = \frac{P_{(\max),vec}}{\max \cdot \left[P_{(\max),vec}\right]} + \frac{MD_{vec}}{\max \cdot \left[MD_{vec}\right]}$$
(23)

This initial priority vector is updated with the help of the pseudo code as [28].

3.2 Stage Two

Among the ON thermal generating units load is economically allotted using PSO_TVAC. The PSO_TVAC can be expressed as equations (24-28) [29-30]. The velocity update of particle is given as equation (24).

$$v_{id}^{(k+1)} = [\omega^* v_{id}^k + c_1^* Rand_1()^* (A^*) + c_2^* Rand_2()^* (B^*)]$$
(24)

Where,

$$A^* = P_{bestid} - x_{id}^k$$
; $B^* = G_{best gd} - x_{id}^k$

The position update of the particle is given as equation (25)

$$x_{id}^{(k+1)} = x_{id}^{k} + v_{id}^{k+1}$$
(25)

The inertia weight (w) of the particle varies as equation (26).

$$w = w_{\max} - \left(\frac{w_{\max} - w_{\min}}{iter_{\max}}\right) * iter$$
(26)

To deal with non-linearity of the problem acceleration coefficients (c_1, c_2) are taken as equations (27-28) [29-30].

$$c_1 = \left(c_{1f} - c_{1i}\right) * \frac{iter.}{iter_{\max}} * c_{1i}$$
(27)

$$c_2 = \left(c_{2f} - c_{2i}\right)^* \frac{iter.}{iter_{\max}} * c_{2i}$$

$$\tag{28}$$

The velocity limits and PSO_TVAC parameters are taken as [29-30].

Table 1- PSO_TVAC Parameters

| Parameter | Value |
|---|--------------------|
| Population Size | 50 |
| W_{max} | 0.9 |
| W_{min} | 0.4 |
| C_{1f} , C_{1i} , C_{2f} , C_{2i} , | 0.5, 2.5, 2.5, 0.5 |

3.3 Stage Three

In the third stage, the hourly dispatch schedule of thermal generators is saved in a look-up table. In accordance to the hourly thermal generation the generation costs of individual thermal generators is calculated and saved in descending order of priority. This information is conveyed to the BES integrated WES model where all the details regarding availability of hourly wind generation and battery are saved. In this block the battery charging and discharging is optimally decided based on availability of wind power and cost of thermal generation. The hourly generation cost of individual thermal generators serves as the basis for this co-optimization problem.

The hourly costlier MW entry from thermal generation gets replaced by respective hourly generation from wind as direct dispatch. If there is no costly thermal generation left out in that hour and still there is availability of wind power then the remaining wind power is used to charge the battery. Once battery is above its minimum SOC level then it gets discharged at the hours, of costlier generation from thermal power.

This process is continued for all 24 hours. This windbattery power schedule is sent to update schedule block where the hourly optimized generation from BES integrated WES is subtracted from original dispatch schedule saved in look-up table. The updated generation schedule is dispatched to serve the hourly load demand.

This approach provides an optimal scheduling of BES integrated WES with thermal generation in order to optimize the overall cost of generation.



Fig.1 Block Diagram representation of solution methodology

4. Simulation and Results

Т

Г

The solution to the problem for the two cases, first when the load is entirely satisfied by thermal generation and second, when the load is satisfied by thermal generation in conjunction with BES integrated WES generation is given in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively.

The *green* color shows the ON status of thermal units obtained by stage one and the MW entries show the dispatch obtained by stage two.

| | | | | |] | Therma | l Gene | rators (| TGs) | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------------------|--------|----------|------|-------------|--------------|-------|------|
| Hrs | TG1 | TG2 | TG3 | TG4 | TG5 | TG6 | TG7 | TG8 | TG9 | TG10 | Tot. Gen. | FC | SC |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (MW) | (\$) | (\$) |
| H1 | | 245 | | | | | | | | | 700 | 13683 | 0 |
| H2 | | 295 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | 750 | 14554 | 0 |
| Н3 | | 395 | | 0 | | | | | | | 850 | 16302 | 0 |
| H4 | | 455 | 0 | | 40 | | | | | | 950 | 18598 | 900 |
| Н5 | | 390 | | | 25 | | 0 | 0 | | | 1000 | 20020 | 560 |
| H6 | | 455 | | | 60 | | | 0 | 0 | | 1100 | 21860 | 0 |
| H7 | | 410 | | | 25 | | | | | | 1150 | 23262 | 1100 |
| H8 | | | | | 30 | | | | | | 1200 | 24150 | 0 |
| Н9 | | | | | 110 | 20 | | | | | 1300 | 26589 | 340 |
| H10 | | | 120 | | | 43 80 80 43 - 20 | | | | | 1400 | 29366 | 520 |
| H11 | | 155 | 150 | | 162 | | 25 | 13 | | | 1450 | 31220 | 60 |
| H12 | 455 | 433 | | | | | 23 | 53 | 10 | 0 | 1500 | 33205 | 60 |
| H13 | 455 | | | 120 | | | | | | 0 | 1400 | 29366 | 0 |
| H14 | | | | 130 | 110 | | | | 0 | | 1300 | 26589 | 0 |
| H15 | | | | | 140 | | | | | | 1200 | 24318 | 0 |
| H16 | | 440 | | | 25 | | | 0 | | | 1050 | 20896 | 0 |
| H17 | | 390 | 0 | | 25 | 0 | | | | | 1000 | 20020 | 0 |
| H18 | | | | | 60 | | | | | | 1100 | 21860 | 0 |
| H19 | | 455 | | | 140 | 20 | 0 | | | | 1200 | 24318 | 170 |
| H20 | | 455 | | | 162 | 48 | | 10 | 10 | | 1400 | 30164 | 670 |
| H21 | | | | | 110 | 20 | | | | | 1300 | 26589 | 0 |
| H22 | | 385 | 130 | | | | | | 6 | | 1100 | 21879 | 0 |
| H23 | | 315 | | - | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 900 | 17795 | 0 |
| H24 | | 215 | | 0 | | | | | | | 800 | 16053 | 0 |

| Table 2. Unit Communication for futural Ocheration |
|---|
|---|

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH S. Tiwari et al., Vol.8, No.2, June, 2018

| | | | | | | | | | | | BES | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|----------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|------|-------|------|------------|-------|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|
| | | | - | Thern | nal Ger | nerators | s (TGs) | - | | | Integrated | Tot. | FC | SC | | | | |
| Hrs. | | | | | | | | | | | WES | Gen. | (\$) | (\$) | | | | |
| | TG1 | TG2 | TG3 | TG4 | TG5 | TG6 | TG7 | TG8 | TG9 | TG10 | Generation | (MWs) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (MWs) | | | | | | | |
| H1 | | 245 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 700 | 13683 | 0 | | | | |
| H2 | | 295 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 750 | 14554 | 0 | | | | |
| H3 | | 369 | 0 | Ũ | | | | | 0 | | 26 | 850 | 15847 | 0 | | | | |
| H4 | | 455 | Ū | | 40 | 0 | | | | | 0 | 950 | 18598 | 900 | | | | |
| H5 | | 390 | | | 25 | Ū | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 1000 | 20020 | 560 | | | | |
| H6 | | 455 | | | 60 | | | | | | 0 | 1100 | 21860 | 0 | | | | |
| H7 | | 410 | | | 25 | | | | | | 0 | 1150 | 23262 | 1100 | | | | |
| H8 | | | 130 | | | | | | 30 | | | | | | 0 | 1200 | 24150 | 0 |
| H9 | | | | | 90 | 20 | | | | | 20 | 1300 | 26179 | 340 | | | | |
| H10 | | 455 | | 130 | | 26 | | | | | 17 | 1400 | 28979 | 520 | | | | |
| H11 | 155 | 433 | | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | | 162 | 80 | 25 | 13 | | | 0 | 1450 | 31220 | 60 |
| H12 | -55 | | | | | | | | | | | 102 | 00 | 25 | 53 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| H13 | | | | | | 43 | | | | Ũ | 0 | 1400 | 29366 | 0 | | | | |
| H14 | | 312 | | | | 20 | 20 | | | 228 | 1300 | 22367 | 0 | | | | | |
| H15 | | 241 | | | | 20 | | 0 | 0 | | 329 | 1200 | 18237 | 0 | | | | |
| H16 | | | | 105 | | | | | | | 315 | 1050 | 15413 | 0 | | | | |
| H17 | | 150 | 0 | 102 | 25 | 0 | | | | | 268 | 1000 | 15362 | 0 | | | | |
| H18 | | | | 114 | 23 | | | | | | 356 | 1100 | 14137 | 0 | | | | |
| H19 | | 262 | 0 130 20 10 10 | | 308 | 1200 | 18603 | 170 | | | | | | | | | | |
| H20 | | 283 | | 130 | | 20 | | 10 | 10 | | 337 | 1400 | 23718 | 670 | | | | |
| H21 | | 203 | 130 | | | | 337 | 1300 | 20468 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| H22 | | | 88 | 107 | | | | 0 | 0 | | 300 | 1100 | 16678 | 0 | | | | |
| H23 | 398 | 150 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | U | U | | 329 | 900 | 12168 | 0 | | | | |
| H24 | 150 | | 20 | U | | | | | | | 480 | 800 | 8039 | 0 | | | | |

Table 3. Unit Commitment of Thermal Generation with BES integrated WES

The total operational cost obtained for first case from Table 1 is **\$557037** and for case two from Table 2 is **\$490496**.

Comparing the total operational costs obtained as elaborated through Table 1 and Table 2.



Fig. 2 Convergence for Thermal Unit Commitment Problem

It is evident that the proposed technique demonstarted a saving of **\$66541** per day.

The convergence of the proposed method for both the cases is shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 respectively.



Fig.3 Convergence for Thermal Unit Commitment Problem with BES Integrated WES

The utilization of available wind power in direct dispatch and in charging of the battery is shown in Fig.4. The hourly SOC of battery is shown in Fig.5. The overall generation including BES integrated WES with thermal generation is shown in Fig.6.









Fig.6 Total Generation Scenario

5. Conclusion

The hybridization of PLM with PSO_TVAC technique was applied to solve the deterministic Unit Commitment Problem of a thermal generation system comprising of ten units operating over a period of 24 hours and the optimum cost was obtained. Thereafter, BES integrated WES was included with existing thermal generators in an optimized manner to get the most optimum cost of operation to meet the same load demand. It is evident from the obtained results that overall generation cost of thermal generators got significantly reduced by about **12%**. Further, it is observed that with the given load profile and the availability of wind generation, the revised thermal generation corresponding to the second peak of load demand gets significantly reduced. This shows that the burden on thermal generation during evening hours can be reduced by optimizing the schedule of battery integrated wind energy system.

Appendices

| TGs | TG1 | TG2 | TG3 | TG4 | TG5 | TG6 | TG7 | TG8 | TG9 | TG10 |
|----------------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Pmax | 455 | 455 | 130 | 130 | 162 | 80 | 85 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Pmin | 150 | 150 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| a(\$/h) | 1000 | 970 | 700 | 680 | 450 | 370 | 480 | 660 | 665 | 670 |
| b(\$/MWh) | 16.19 | 17.26 | 16.60 | 16.50 | 19.70 | 22.26 | 27.74 | 25.92 | 27.27 | 27.79 |
| c(\$/MW2h) | 0.0008 | 0.00031 | 0.002 | 0.0021 | 0.00398 | 0.0072 | 0.00079 | 0.00413 | 0.0022 | 0.00173 |
| MD(h) | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MU(h) | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| HSc(\$/h) | 4500 | 5000 | 550 | 560 | 900 | 170 | 260 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| CSc(\$/h) | 9000 | 10000 | 1100 | 1120 | 1800 | 340 | 520 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Cs(h) | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Initial Status | 8 | 8 | -5 | -5 | -6 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -1 |

Appendix 1. Information of Thermal Generators [19, 22-25, 31]

Appendix 2. Day Ahead Load Profile [19, 22-25, 31]

| Hours | Hr1 | Hr2 | Hr3 | Hr4 | Hr5 | Hr6 | Hr7 | Hr8 | Hr9 | Hr10 | Hr11 | Hr12 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Load (MW) | 700 | 750 | 800 | 950 | 1000 | 1100 | 1150 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1450 | 1500 |
| Hours | Hr13 | Hr14 | Hr15 | Hr16 | Hr17 | Hr18 | Hr19 | Hr20 | Hr21 | Hr22 | Hr23 | Hr24 |
| Load (MW) | 1400 | 1300 | 1200 | 1050 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1400 | 1300 | 1100 | 900 | 800 |

Appendix 3. Hourly Wind Speed (WS) Details [22, 24-25]

| Hours WS(m/s) Hours WS(m/s) | Hr1 3.5 Hr13 0.4 | Hr2 3.6 Hr14 8.4 | Hr3 1.5 Hr15 9.9 | Hr4 1.4 Hr16 10.1 | Hr5 0.1 Hr17 9.7 | Hr6 1.8 Hr18 9.2 | Hr7 1.3 Hr19 9.6 | Hr8 2.2 Hr20 10 | Hr9 3.8 Hr21 10 | Hr10 3.7 Hr22 9.5 | Hr11 2.0 Hr23 9.9 | Hr12 0.6 Hr24 12.6 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cut-in speed (m/s) | 03 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cut-out speed (m/s) | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rated speed (m/s) | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH S. Tiwari et al., Vol.8, No.2, June, 2018

References

- Vine E, "Breaking down the silos: the integration of energy efficiency, renewable energy, demand response and climate changes", Energy efficiency, Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 49-63, 2008
- [2] Evans A, Strezov V, Evans T. J., "Assessment of sustainability indicators for renewable energy technologies", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier, Vol.13, Issue 5, pp.1082-1088, 2009.
- [3] Islam M, Mekhilef S, Saidur R, "Progress and recent trends in wind energy technology", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier, Vol.21, pp. 456-468, 2013.
- [4] Kaplan O., Temiz M., "The analysis of wind speed potential and energy density in Ankara", In Proceedings of International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Applications (ICRERA-2016), Birmingham, UK, pp 919-923, 2016.
- [5] Munoz Vaca S., Patsios C., Taylor P., "Enhancing frequency response of wind farms using hybrid energy storage systems", ", In Proceedings of International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Applications (ICRERA-2016), Birmingham, UK, pp 325-329, 2016.
- [6] Saboori S., Kazemzadeh R. and Saboori H., "Assessing Wind Energy Uncertainity Impact on Joint Energy and Reserve Markets by using Stochastic Programming Evaluation Metrices", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER), Vol. 5, Issue 4, pp.1241-1251, 2015.
- [7] Suberu MY, Mustafa MW, Bashir N, "Energy Storage Systems for renewable energy power sector integration and mitigation of intermittency", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier, Vol.35, pp. 499-514,2014.
- [8] Diouf B, Pode R, "Potential of lithium ion batteries in renewable energy", Renewable Energy, Vol.76, pp 375-380, 2015.
- [9] Castillo A, Gayme DF, "Grid Scale energy storage applications in renewable energy integration: A Survey", Energy Conversion and Management, Elsevier, Vol.87, pp. 885-894, 2014.
- [10] Hadjipaschalis I, Poullikkas A, Efthimiou V, "Overview of current and future energy storage technologies for electric power applications", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier, Vol. 13, pp.1513-1522, 2009.
- [11] Zhao H, Wu Q, Hu S, Xu H and Rasmussen CN, "Review of Energy Storage System for Wind Power Integration Support", Applied Energy, Vol. 137, pp 545-553, 2015.
- [12] Reihani E, Sepasi S, Roose LR and Matsuura M, "Energy Management at the distribution grid using a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)", International

Journal of Electric Power and Energy Systems, Elsevier, Vol.77, pp.337-344, 2016.

- [13] Chatzivasileiadi A, Ampatzi E, Knight I, "Characteristics of electrical energy storage technologies and their applications in buildings", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Elsevier, Vol. 25, pp.814-830, 2013.
- [14] Wade NS, Taylor PC, Lang PD, Jones PR, "Evaluating the benefits of an electrical storage system in a future smart grid", Energy Policy, Elsevier, Vol.38, Issue 11, pp.7180-7188, 2010.
- [15] Bhandari B, Poudel SR, Lee KT, Ahn S, "Mathematical Modelling of Hybrid Renewable Energy System: A review on small Hydro-solar-wind power generation", International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology, Springer, Vol.1, Issue 2, pp.157-173, 2014.
- [16] Yang H, Lu L, Zhou W, "A novel optimization sizing model for hybrid solar-wind power generation system", Solar Energy, Elsevier, Vol. 81, pp.76-84, 2007.
- [17] Wood A. J. and Wollenberg B. F, "Power generation, operation and control", John Wiley & sons, New York, 3rd Edition.
- [18] W.L. Snyder, H.D. Powell, and J.C. Rayburn, "Dynamic Programming approach to Unit Commitment", IEEE Trans. On Power Systems, Vol. 2, pp. 339-351, 1987
- [19] Tiwari S., Dwivedi B., and Dave M.P., "A Two stage solution methodology for Deterministic Unit Commitment problem", In: Proceedings of 3rd IEEE International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Electronics(UPCON-2016), 09-11 Dec., 2016.
- [20] Hossain J., Sakib N., Hossain E., Bayindir R., "Modelling and simulation of Solar plant and storage system: A step to micro-grid technology", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER), Vol.17, Issue 2, pp. 723-737.
- [21] Islam A., Nimmagada S., Subburaj A., Bayne S.B., "A review of frequency response solution for Type-3 wind turbines using energy storage device", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER), Vol.16, Issue 4, pp. 1416-1422, 2016.
- [22] Shukla A., and Singh S.N., "PSO for solving Unit Commitment Problem including Renewable Energy Resources", Electrical India, Vol. 54, No. 12, pp. 100-105, Dec. 2014
- [23] Shukla A. and Singh S.N., "Advanced three stage pseudo inspired weight improved crazy particle swarm optimization for Unit Commitment Problem", Elseveir, Energy, Vol.96, pp.23-36, Feb. 2016.
- [24] Tiwari S., Dwivedi B. and Dave M.P., "Unit Commitment Problem Solution for Renewable Integrated Generation", i-manager's Journal on Electrical Engineering, Vol. 10, Issue 4,pp. 13-21, 2017.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of RENEWABLE ENERGY RESEARCH S. Tiwari et al., Vol.8, No.2, June, 2018

- [25] Shantanu C., Senjyu T., Saber A.Y., Yona A. and Funabashi T., "Optimal Thermal Unit Commitment Integrated with Renewable Energy Sources Using Advanced Particle Swarm Optimization", IEEJ Trans.4, pp.609-617, 2009.
- [26] Powell L., "Power system load flow analysis", Mc Graw Hill Professional Series, 2004.
- [27] Yang H., Lu L. and Zhou W., "A novel optimization sizing model for hybrid solar-wind power generation system", Elseveir, Solar Energy, Vol. 81, pp.76-84., 2007.
- [28] Khanmohammadi S., Amiri M. and Tarafdar Haque M., "A new three stage method for solving unit commitment method", Elsevier Energy Vol.35, 3072-3080., 2010.
- [29] Chaturvedi K.T., Pandit M., Srivastava L., "Self-Organizing Hierarchical Particle Swarm Optimization for Non-Convex Economic Dispatch"; IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol.23, pp.1079-1087.,2008.
- [30] Tiwari S., Maurya A., "Particle Swarm Optimization Technique with Time Varying Acceleration coefficients for load Dispatch Problem", IJRITCC, Vol. 3, Issue 6, pp. 3878-3885., 2015.
- [31] Kazarlis S.A., Bakirtzis A.G. and Petridis V., "A Genetic Algorithm Solution to Unit Commitment Problem", IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 1996.